**Overall:**

***\* You did not follow the instructions in the assignment: Organizational***

***factor tables were not needed, but technological factors. Improve your strategy***

***tables, i.e. describe the strategies, and map them to the conceptual view.***

**Language: 4**

---

**Motivations: 4**

***\* Assumptions are listed and reasonable***

---

**Technological factor tables: 1**

***\* Organizational factors described (which was not asked for this assignment), but no tech. factor table!***

We have taken out the organizational factor we had included and created out technological factors from decisions and assumptions we have made.

***\* General: create separate tables for product and technology factors; now it's very difficult to follow what you have considered and what not***

We have created separate tables for the product and technology factors.

**Product factor tables: 1**

***\* The factor tables do not conform to what is proposed by Hofmeister: what do the "components" and "stage" attributes mean?***

The tables have been converted to follow the standard proposed by Hofmeister. The “component” and “stage” attributes were written as answer to a question Hofmeister suggested asking oneself when identifying and creating factors. These answers, however, was as commented never to be documented in Hofmeisters suggestions.

***\* P4.1.1-P4.1.4: what is described is rather maintainability, not availability***

The factors have been been moved to the category Service since this is the category hosting maintenance factors according to the Hofmeister model.

***\* Not many factors (except functional features) identified***

We have looked over our table in relation to Hofmeisters suggested categories and identified new factors in some categories where we previously had few or no factors

**Strategy tables: 2**

***\* Strategies should be explained, not only referenced to a book. What is the idea of the strategy? --> Hence not clear whether the strategies address the issue and how.***

We have expanded the explanation of the strategies and tactics used.

***\* Most of the issues are relevant and not trivial***

---

**Strategy mapping to conceptual view: 1**

***\* There is no mapping between the designed components and the proposed strategies, i.e. strategies are not traceable to design decisions!***

**Conceptual view: 1**

***\* Unclear components, control flow not clear***

Updated the conceptual view

***\* Notation not followed***

Updated notation